IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

LARRY MONROE, JR.,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) CIVIL ACTION NO.: 1:21-cv-76
BUFFALO WILD WINGS, LLC., NEW CASTLE,)))
Defendant.)

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, named-above, complains of act and omissions to act by the Defendant. In support of his Complaint and as cause of action against Defendant, Plaintiff respectfully submits the following:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This suit is authorized and instituted pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1981 and Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000 et al., Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) 29 U. S. C. §§ 621 et. al., all as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991; 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 2. Plaintiff filed a charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). The EEOC issued a right to sue letter on or about November 12, 2019.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, Larry Monroe, Jr., is an African-American citizen of the United States and at all relevant times she resided in the Southern District of Indiana.
- 4. Defendant is a corporation doing business in the State of Indiana in the Southern District of Indiana.

FACTS

- 5. Plaintiff began working for Defendant in June of 2018 as a manager.
- 6. Plaintiff performed his job well and had no disciplinary problems.
- 7. In December of 2018 Plaintiff was transferred to Defendant's location in New Castle.
- 8. Plaintiff was the only African-American manager and there was only one (1) other minority employee at the New Castle location.
- 9. Plaintiff performed his job well and was not disciplined after his transfer until he was terminated.
- 10. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, after his transfer, Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination and harassment due to his race and in retaliation for reporting racial discrimination and harassment.
- 11. From the time Plaintiff arrived at the Defendant's New Castle location, he was treated less favorably than Caucasian managers and non-managers.
- 12. Plaintiff's supervisor did not support him when Plaintiff attempted to discipline employees, but she did support the Caucasian manager when they disciplined employees.
 - 13. Plaintiff's supervisor did not allow Plaintiff to lead manager's meetings.
- 14. Instead she allowed a Caucasian non-manager to lead the meeting involving Plaintiff's subordinates.
 - 15. Plaintiff's supervisor allowed Caucasian managers to lead meetings involving their

subordinates.

- 16. She was short with Plaintiff and spoke rudely to him.
- 17. As a result, Plaintiff reached out to a regional manager of Defendant and asked to speak to him confidentially about how Plaintiff's supervisor was treating him less favorably than Caucasian employees.
 - 18. Plaintiff told him he felt he was being discriminated against due to his race.
- 19. Subsequent to Plaintiff's conversation with the regional manager, two employees got into a heated argument, Plaintiff told them to end it.
 - 20. One employee complied.
- 21. The other employee said she would "fuckin" let it go when she went home and she continued cussing in the presence of customers.
 - 22. As a result of her conduct, Plaintiff wrote her up and recommended termination.
- 23. Plaintiff's supervisor told him she was not going to terminate the employee Plaintiff then told his supervisor that the employee deserved to be terminated for her conduct.
- 24. Plaintiff's supervisor became very angry and stated to him "do you think you can call my fucking bosses on me and tell on me, you're fuckin fake as hell, you're a fuckin fake ass, you're fuckin two-faced ass, you're fuckin two-faced and fake, yea, now what".
- 25. After that confrontation Plaintiff contacted the regional manager and told him Plaintiff believed his supervisor was retaliating against him due to his complaint of racial harassment and discrimination.

- 26. The regional manager called a meeting.
- 27. During the meeting Plaintiff stated that he felt his supervisor was retaliating against him because of his complaint to the other regional manager.
 - 28. Plaintiff's supervisor said "right, whatever" and laughed.
- 29. Plaintiff then told them that he felt belittled and intimidated and that his supervisor's action was creating a hostile work environment.
 - 30. Plaintiff's supervisor subsequently called him a "fucking liar" during the meeting.
 - 31. Plaintiff looked at the regional manager and asked him "do you hear this".
 - 32. He said nothing.
- 33. Plaintiff began to take notes, his supervisor asked me "what the fuck are you doing Larry, what the fuck are you writing down" "are you going to get a fuckin lawyer".
- 34. Plaintiff was told, by the managers, that they would get statements from the team about how he treated them.
 - 35. Plaintiff became emotional, left the meeting and went outside.
 - 36. Things got worse from that point on.
 - 37. Plaintiff began to be stripped of his duties.
- 38. Plaintiff's scheduling responsibilities were taken from him and given to his subordinate.
 - 39. The Caucasian manager retained his scheduling authority.

- 40. Plaintiff could not hire employees without his supervisor or manager doing a second interview.
 - 41. The Caucasian manager is allowed to hire employees without a second interview.
- 42. Plaintiff was required to remain in the cashier's box to run the cash register by his supervisor.
 - 43. The other Caucasian manager was not required to run the cash register.
 - 44. Plaintiff was verbally abused by two of his subordinates.
- 45. A Caucasian employee called Plaintiff a "bitch ass nigger" and another employee called him a "bitch.
 - 46. They were simply told to watch their mouths.
- 47. In February 2020 Plaintiff again complained to Jon Curtis, his regional manager, that he believed he was being discriminated against and harassed due to his race.
 - 48. The regional manager said he would look into it.
 - 49. On February 20, 2020, the regional manager asked Plaintiff to attend a meeting.
 - 50. The regional manager asked Plaintiff how things were going.
- 51. Plaintiff said things are rough since he told his supervisor about his complaint back in August.
- 52. Then the regional manager told Plaintiff someone accused him of engaging in inappropriate conduct.

- 53. The regional manager told Plaintiff to admit to it and he would get him some severance pay.
- 54. Plaintiff told him he did not engage in any such conduct and he was not going to admit to doing something he did not do.
- 55. Plaintiff then sent a letter to the ownership citing his complaints of racial discrimination, harassment and retaliation.
- 56. On February 21, 2020, the regional manager called Plaintiff and said they received his complaint and they would get back to him.
- 57. On February 22, 2020, Defendant's managers told Plaintiff he was terminated for alleged sexual harassment.
- 58. Plaintiff believes he has been subjected to racial harassment, retaliated against for complaining about discrimination and terminated due to his race and in retaliation for complaining about discrimination.

COUNT I

- 59. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-58.
- 60. Defendant as a result of discriminating against Plaintiff due to his race violated Title VII 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et al.

COUNT II

- 61. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-58.
- 62. Defendant as a result of discriminating against Plaintiff due to his race violated 42

U.S.C. § 1981.

COUNT III

- 63. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-58.
- 64. Defendant as a result of creating a hostile work environment and harassing Plaintiff due to his race violated Title VII 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et al.

COUNT IV

- 65. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-58.
- 66. Defendant as a result of creating a hostile work environment and harassing Plaintiff due to his race violated 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

COUNT V

- 67. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-58.
- 68. Defendant as a result of retaliating against Plaintiff due to his protected activity violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et al.

COUNT VI

- 69. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-58.
- 70. Defendant as a result of retaliating against Plaintiff due to his protected activity violated 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant the following relief:

- A. Reinstate Plaintiff to position from which he was terminated or front pay in lieu of termination.
- B. Award Plaintiff back pay and benefits lost;
- C. Award Plaintiff compensatory damages for future pecuniary loss, emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish and loss of enjoyment of life;
- D. Award Plaintiff liquidated damages;

- E. Award Plaintiff punitive damages;
- F. Award Plaintiff her costs in this action and reasonable attorney fees;
- G. Grant Plaintiff a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant from engaging in any employment practice or policy which discriminates against Plaintiff on the basis of race.
- H. Grant Plaintiff any other relief which is allowable under the circumstances of this case.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Gregory A. Stowers
GREGORY A. STOWERS, 13784-49
Attorney for Plaintiff

REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

Comes now the Plaintiff and requests that this cause be tried by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

STOWERS & WEDDLE P.C.

s/Gregory A. Stowers
GREGORY A. STOWERS, 13784-49
Attorney for Plaintiff

Gregory A. Stowers, 13784-49 STOWERS & WEDDLE P.C. 626 N. Illinois Street Suite 201 Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317)636-6320